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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **Identification** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Your name | | Hillevi Vuori | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | Country of Residence | Finland | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | Are you? | responding on behalf of an association, | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Could you please tell us the nature of your association or organisation | | National Standardisation Body, 55 employees | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **Policy Questions** | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (a) Do you agree that the attributes for standards to be associated with EU legislation and policies should be integrated in the future ICT standardisation policy as set out in section 2.1 of the White Paper? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Explain why you agree | | The attributes are already met byt the ESOs. But how many consortia or their products meet these attributes and how is this verified? The cost of participation in consortia can be considerable and thus prohibitive for SMEs. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (b) Do you agree that the public procurement provisions of CD 87/95 should be updated so that public authorities can more easily acquire ICT services, applications and products that fulfil their specific requirements and in particular an adequate level of interoperability? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Please explain why you agree | | We agree that the public procurement provisions of CD 87/95 should be updated but if "Other technical specifications" are accepted as reference they should be produced in the ESOs to guarantee technical neutrality. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (c) Do you agree with the need to clarify that when they are defined within the context of ICT strategies, architectures and interoperability frameworks, the implementation of standardised interfaces can be made a requirement in public procurement procedures, provided the principles of openness, fairness, objectivity and non-discrimination and the public procurement directives are applied? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Explain why you agree | |  | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (d) Do you agree that standardisation and research stakeholders, in particular ETPs, should be regularly consulted to ensure that relevant European research initiatives contribute most effectively to ICT standardisation activities? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you agree | |  | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (e) Do you agree that standardisers should adapt their procedures where necessary to ensure that contributions from research organisations, consortia and projects facilitate the timely production of ICT standards? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you agree | | We agree but the fact is that building consensus always takes time. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (f) Do you agree that Member States should similarly consider regular consultation of standardisation and research stakeholders to ensure that relevant national research initiatives contribute most effectively to ICT standardisation activities? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you agree | |  | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (g) Do you agree that ICT standards developing organisations should, subject to competition law and respecting the owner's IPR: implement clear, transparent and balanced IPR policies which do not discriminate and allow competition among different business models, ensure the effectiveness of procedures for IPR disclosures, and consider a declaration of the most restrictive licensing terms, possibly including the (maximum) royalty rates before adoption of a standard as a potential route to providing more predictability and transparency? | No | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you disagree | | It is not the role of standardars bodies to make assessments of how much patent royalties should be. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (h) Do you agree with enabling the referencing of specific fora and consortia standards in relevant EU legislation and policies subject to a positive evaluation of the standard and the forum or consortium processes with regard to the attributes list as described in chapter 2.1 of the White Paper? | No | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you disagree | | What is the process to accept specific fora and consortia standards to be referenced? There are only few, if any, consortia that meet the attributes in recommendation (c). | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (i) Do you agree that better cooperation should be promoted between fora and consortia and ESOs on the basis of a process which would lead to standards issued by the ESOs? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Explain why you agree | |  | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (j) Do you agree with the establishment of a permanent, multi-stakeholder, ICT standardisation policy platform (with a wider membership than the Member State SOGITS Committee previously established by Council Decision 87/95) to advise the Commission on all matters related to the European ICT standardisation policy and its effective implementation? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you agree | | We accept the recommendation if national standards bodies are included in the participants. Actually, NSBs represent all stakeholders in ESOs. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | (k) Do you agree that the ESOs and other ICT standard developing organisations should be invited to review the function and composition of the current ICTSB to make it more efficient? | Yes | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | explain why you agree | |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | General Remarks: Are there any other comments you would like to add concerning the White Paper or review of ICT standardisation policy? | | The White Paper is ignoring the role of formal international bodies (ISO, IEC, ITU-T, UN/CEFACT). ICT standardisation is with some exceptions not Europe spesific. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **Meta Informations** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Creation date | | 11-09-2009 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Last update date | |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | User name | | null | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Case Number | | 962824012461925409 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Invitation Ref. | |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | |  | | --- | | Status | | N | | |